In your paper, *Hegel on Infinite Judgments* you give a very good overview of both positive and negative infinite judgments within Hegel’s philosophy, as well as the historical context for Hegel’s logic. From Kant’s formulation of infinite judgments as affirming a non-predicate you move to the development of Hegel’s infinite judgments through dialectic reasoning. For Hegel, the negative infinite judgment is the negation of a category mistake, while the positive infinite judgment is affirming something’s belonging to a category.

You begin the paper with a discussion of analytic philosophers engaging with Hegel before explaining that you plan to engage in a different project, namely taking Hegel’s work on its own terms (2-3). From there you don’t further engage the analytic philosophers, and don’t provide reasons for choosing to stay in Hegel’s framework. What reasons do you have for 1) staying in Hegel’s framework rather than modernizing it like the analytic philosophers? And 2) discussing the analytic philosophers in this paper?

Can you provide more clarification on what Hegel meant by saying things like “animals have the structure of a syllogism” (4)?

When discussing logical systems that “reduce affirmation and negation down to a single quality” (5) you use Frege as an example. Do you know of any examples of this that predate Kant? This is mostly a stylistic critique, but the section seems to be building up to the background of Kant’s infinite judgment. It might flow better if the example was historically prior to Kant.

When introducing Hegel’s negative infinite judgment (8) you say “they negate an infinite amount of things that fall within a category.” Can you clarify this? Does it mean that the judgments negate across the infinite set of things that aren’t in the category?

You assert that “the Hegelian positive infinite judgment is equivalent to the negation of the Kantian infinite judgment” (11). Can you say more about this relation?
You gave a very clear explication of Hegel’s infinite judgments. Do you have, or plan on having, further work in your stated goal of cultivating “a deeper appreciation for Hegel’s contributions to the history of logic” (1), and if so, how does it relate to this? Do you know how Hegel’s infinite judgments influenced subsequent logical systems?